

## **Audit Template**

### **Audit title**

Preoperative sonographic evaluation of axillary lymph nodes in breast cancer patients; a local experience.

### **Descriptor**

Efficacy of preoperative axillary ultrasound interpretation.

### **Background**

Axillary ultrasound is useful in the preoperative assessment of breast cancer patients. Ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration (FNA) biopsy of pathological nodes avoids the need for a sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB). Benign nodes on imaging or cytology require SLNB prior to complete nodal dissection. SLNB is more extensive, requiring nuclear medicine and intraoperative pathological assessment.

### **Standard**

Pathological appearing nodes are biopsied; a positive FNA avoids the need for SLNB.

### **Target**

Sensitivity of preoperative axillary ultrasound to range from 40-65%, with several studies up to 90%.

### **Methods**

- Retrospective review of preoperative axillary ultrasound reports and images.
  - Location: local breast imaging center.
  - Recorded patient age.
- Using PACS, all axillary ultrasound reports from study period reviewed. Study population formed by patients who underwent axillary ultrasound preoperatively as part of the work-up for breast cancer. Patients with ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) and those with no further work-up excluded.
- Ultrasound interpretations coded as “positive” if the radiologist noted features concerning for malignancy (e.g. thickened cortex, decreased or absent fatty hilum). Non-pathological interpretations coded as “negative.”
- Coded FNA results as “positive,” “negative,” or “insufficient material for diagnosis” using cytology reports.
- Compared ultrasound and FNA results to ALND using final pathology reports. Number of nodes containing metastases and total number of nodes dissected also recorded.
- Data entered into Excel spreadsheet.
- Descriptive statistics calculated for US interpretations and FNA biopsies (sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy). Calculated rate of SLNB avoided.
- Relevant literature reviewed.

**Interventions**

In-house education about (1) morphological features of pathological nodes and (2) axillary node level anatomy to ensure complete axillary scanning. Prospective audit of similar timeframe.

**Resources required**

Access to PACS, cytology reports, pathology reports, Excel, and literature databases.

**Time required to perform the audit**

2-4 working weeks.

**References**

- Bonnema, van Geel, van Ooijen, Mali, Tjiam, Henzen-Logmans, Schmitz, & Wiggers. (1997). Ultrasound-guided aspiration biopsy for detection of nonpalpable axillary node metastases in breast cancer patients: New diagnostic method. *World Journal of Surgery*, 21, 270-274.
- Canadian Association of Radiologists. (2013). CAR Practice Guidelines and Technical Standards for Breast Imaging and Intervention. Retrieved from: <http://www.car.ca/en/standards-guidelines/standards.aspx#2>
- Houssami, Ciatto, Turner, Cody, & Macaskill. (2011). Preoperative ultrasound-guided needle biopsy of axillary nodes in invasive breast cancer: Meta-analysis of its accuracy and utility in staging the axilla. *Annals of Surgery*, 254(2), 243-251.
- Pinheiro, Elias, & Nazário. (2014). Axillary lymph nodes in breast cancer patients: sonographic evaluation. *Radiologia Brasileira*, 47(4), 240-244.