Guidelines

Canadian Association of Radiologists Head and Neck Imaging Referral Guideline

Candyce Hamel¹, Barb Avard², Ross Campbell³, Mario Kontolemos³, and Amanda Murphy²

Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal I–6 © The Author(s) 2024 © U S

Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/08465371231217212 journals.sagepub.com/home/caj



Abstract

The Canadian Association of Radiologists (CAR) Head and Neck Expert Panel consists of radiologists, a laryngologist and laryngeal surgeon, a patient advisor, and an epidemiologist/guideline methodologist. After developing a list of 11 clinical/ diagnostic scenarios, a systematic rapid scoping review was undertaken to identify systematically produced referral guidelines that provide recommendations for one or more of these clinical/diagnostic scenarios. Recommendations from 17 guidelines and contextualization criteria in the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluations (GRADE) for guidelines framework were used to develop 26 recommendation statements across the 11 scenarios. This guideline presents the methods of development and the referral recommendations for sinus disease, tinnitus, thyroid and parathyroid disease, neck mass of unknown origin, acute sialadenitis, chronic salivary conditions, and temporomandibular joint dysfunction.

Résumé

Le groupe d'experts de la tête et du cou de l'Association canadienne des radiologistes (CAR) est constitué de radiologistes, d'un laryngologiste et chirurgien du larynx, d'une représentante des patients et d'un épidémiologiste spécialiste en méthodologie des lignes directrices. Après avoir élaboré une liste de II scénarios cliniques/diagnostiques, une revue systématique rapide de délimitation du problème a été entreprise pour repérer les lignes directrices de référence produites systématiquement qui fournissent des recommandations pour un ou plusieurs de ces scénarios. Des recommandations de 17 lignes directrices et critères de contextualisation du cadre GRADE (notation des recommandations, analyses, développements et évaluations) pour la structure des lignes directrices ont été utilisés pour élaborer 26 énoncés de recommandations couvrant les II scénarios. Ces lignes directrices présentent les méthodes d'élaboration et les recommandations d'orientation pour les maladies des sinus, les acouphènes, les maladies de la thyroïde et des parathyroïdes, les masses cervicales d'origine inconnue, la sialadénite aiguë, les troubles chroniques des glandes salivaires et le dysfonctionnement de l'articulation temporo-mandibulaire.

Keywords

sinus disease, tinnitus, thyroid, salivary, diagnostic imaging, referrals

Introduction

Beginning in May 2022, an Expert Panel (EP) comprised of radiologists, a laryngologist and laryngeal surgeon, a patient advisor, and an epidemiologist/guideline methodologist met to develop a new set of recommendations specific to referral pathways for clinical scenarios related to the head and neck. Through discussion (via a virtual meeting) followed by offline communication, the EP developed a list of 11 clinical/diagnostic scenarios to be covered by this guideline. These recommendations are intended primarily for referring clinicians (eg, family physicians, specialty physicians, nurse practitioners); however, they may also be used by radiologists, individuals/ patients, and patient representatives.

Corresponding Author:

Amanda Murphy, North York General Hospital, University of Toronto, 4001 Leslie Street, Toronto, ON M2K 1E1, Canada. Email: Amanda.Murphy@nygh.on.ca

¹ Canadian Association of Radiologists, Ottawa, ON, Canada

² North York General Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

³ Ottawa Hospital, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada

Recommendation	AGAINST	FOR
STRONG	Strong, against	Strong, for
	"we recommend against"	"we recommend"
	(↓↓)	(↑↑)
	 All or almost all informed people would not recommend/choose the course of action and only a small proportion would. 	 All or almost all informed people would recommend/choose the course of action and only a small proportion would not. Bequest discussion if the intervention is not offered
CONDITIONAL	Conditional against	Conditional for
CONDITIONAL	"we suggest against" (↓)	"we suggest" (↑)
	 Most informed people would not recommend/ choose the course of action, but a substantial number would. 	 Most informed people would recommend/choose the course of action, but a substantial number would not.
	• This may be conditional upon patient values and preferences, the resources available or the setting in which the intervention will be implemented.	• This may be conditional upon patient values and preferences, the resources available or the setting in which the intervention will be implemented.

Table I. Recommendation Text, Symbol, and Interpretation.

Note. Down arrows are red and Up arrows are green when available in color. Created using the guidance provided in Andrews et al.⁶ Created using the guidance provided in Andrews et al.⁶

Our methods describing the guideline development process, including the rapid scoping review to identify the evidence base, has been published in CMAJ Open1 and an editorial to this series of guideline publications is available in CARJ.² The application of well-established scoping review and rapid review guidance (JBI,3 Cochrane Handbook,4 Cochrane Rapid Review Methods Group⁵) and guideline methodology (ie, Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation or GRADE^{6,7}) were used to identify the evidence-base and to guide the Expert Panel in determining the strength and direction of the recommendations for each clinical scenario (Table 1). The quality of conduct and reporting of the included guidelines identified in the scoping review were evaluated with the AGREE-II checklist,8 using a modified scoring system. In instances where guidelines were lacking, expert consensus was used to develop the recommendation. Contextualization to the Canadian health care system was considered for each recommendation, with discussion around the factors found in the Evidence to Decision framework in GRADE for guidelines (eg, balance of desirable and undesirable outcomes, values and preferences, resources implications).⁷

A systematic search for guidelines (with an *a priori* defined inclusion criteria) was run in Medline and Embase on July 4, 2022. The search was limited to publications from 2016 onward (Supplemental Appendix 1). Supplemental searching included the following national radiology and/or guideline groups: the American College of Radiology, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, and the Royal College of Radiologists 8th Edition (2017). Recommendations for each clinical scenario were formulated during one in-person/virtual hybrid meeting in December 2022. External review and feedback were obtained from radiologists, a nuclear medicine radiologist, an emergency physician, a family physician, and a nurse practitioner. The full guideline can be found on the CAR website (www.car.ca).

Results

Systematic Scoping Review

A total of 5214 records were identified through the electronic database and 6 additional records were added from the supplemental search. Seventeen guidelines, plus 6 companion papers, were included (Figure 1). Potentially relevant guidelines published in languages other than English can be found in Supplemental Appendix 2. A list of excluded records including justifications for exclusion is available upon request. Most guidelines were rated as moderate or high quality, using the modified AGREE-II checklist⁸ (Supplemental Appendix 3). The number of guidelines included per clinical/diagnostic scenario ranged from 1 to 7, with a median of 2 guidelines per clinical scenario.

Recommendations

Additional details of the included guidelines, including which imaging modalities (eg, computed tomography [CT], magnetic resonance angiography [MRA], magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], nuclear medicine [NM], radiograph [XR], ultrasound [US]) that were discussed can be found in Supplemental Appendix 4.



Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram.

A guideline is intended to guide and not be an absolute rule. Medical care is complex and should be based on evidence, a clinician's expert judgment, the patient's circumstances, values, preferences, and resource availability. Not all imaging modalities are available in all clinical environments, particularly in rural or remote areas of Canada. Decisions about patient transfer, use of alternative imaging or serial clinical examination and observation can be difficult. Therefore, the expected benefits of recommended imaging, risks of travel, patient preference, and other factors must be considered. The guideline recommendations are to assist the choice of imaging modality in situations where it is deemed clinically necessary to obtain imaging.

Recommendations do not specify when contrast should or should not be used, as this may vary based on clinical presentation, regional practice preferences, preference of the referring clinician, radiologist and the patient, and resource availability. We reviewed relevant recommendations related to the 11 clinical/diagnostic scenarios previously published by radiology and specialty societies, including: the Canadian Association of Radiologists,⁹ the American College of Radiology,¹⁰⁻¹⁵ the American Thyroid Association,¹⁶ the European Thyroid Association,¹⁷ the German Association of Endocrine Surgeons,¹⁸ the International consensus statement on Allergy and Rhinology,¹⁹ the Korean Society of Radiology/National Evidence-Based Healthcare Collaborating Agency,²⁰ the Korean Society of Thyroid Radiology,²¹ the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence,²²⁻²⁷ the Neck Mass Guideline Development Group,²⁸⁻³⁰ and the Royal College of Radiologists.³¹

Recommendations are presented in 2 tables: Sinus disease and tinnitus (Table 2), Thyroid and parathyroid disease, neck mass of unknown origin, acute sialadenitis, chronic salivary conditions, and temporomandibular joint dysfunction (Table 3). **Table 2.** Sinus Disease and Tinnitus Recommendations.

Clinical/diagnostic scenario and recommendations

H01. SINUS DISEASE

H01A. Acute and chronic sinusitis^{9,10,19,31}

- I. In adults with sinus disease, we recommend against **XR** ($\downarrow \downarrow$).
- **2.** In adults with uncomplicated acute sinusitis (≤ 4 wk), we recommend against imaging ($\downarrow \downarrow$).
- 3. In adults with acute sinusitis with suspected complications, we recommend **CT** as the initial imaging modality ($\uparrow\uparrow$).
 - → 3.1 In adults where there is clinical or radiologic concern for intraorbital or intracranial complication, we suggest MRI as the next imaging modality (↑).
- **4.** In adults who meet the definition (eg, Canadian Consensus Guidelines) of chronic sinusitis OR for recurrent acute sinusitis, we suggest **CT** as the initial imaging modality (↑).

Canadian Consensus Guidelines³²: "A diagnosis of chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) requires the presence of at least 2 of the CPODS symptoms* for 8-12 weeks, plus documented inflammation of the paranasal sinuses or nasal mucosa. The diagnosis of CRS is made on clinical grounds but must be confirmed by at least 1 objective finding on endoscopy or CT."

*C: Facial congestion or fullness; P: Facial pain, pressure or fullness; O: Nasal obstruction or blockage; D: Purulent anterior or posterior nasal drainage; S: Hyposmia or anosmia (smell)

H01B. Sinonasal tumours¹⁰

- 1. In adults with suspected sinonasal tumour, we recommend **CT** as the initial imaging modality ($\uparrow\uparrow$).
 - \mapsto 1.1 In adults with suspected sinonasal tumour on CT, we recommend MRI as the next imaging modality ($\uparrow\uparrow$).

H02. TINNITUS

H02A. Pulsatile tinnitus^{11,22,23}

1. In adults with pulsatile tinnitus, we suggest CT/CTA or MRI/MRA as the initial imaging modality (^).

The imaging modality selected may be based on regional practice preferences, preference of the referring clinician, radiologist and the patient, and resource availability.

H02B. Non-pulsatile tinnitus^{11,22,23}

- In adults with symmetrical atraumatic non-pulsatile tinnitus with no associated neurological signs and symptoms⁺, we recommend against imaging (↓↓).
- 2. In adults with associated neurological signs and symptoms^{\diamond} OR with asymmetric atraumatic non-pulsatile tinnitus, we recommend **MRI of internal auditory canals** as the initial imaging modality ($\uparrow\uparrow$).

 \rightarrow 2.1 If MRI internal auditory canals is unavailable or contraindicated, we recommend CT ($\uparrow\uparrow$).

 $^{\diamond}$ For example, focal neurological abnormalities, otological (eg, asymmetrical hearing loss), head and neck signs and symptoms

For hearing loss, see the CAR Central Nervous System Imaging Referral Guideline

Note. Strength of recommendation: $\uparrow\uparrow$ = strong for; \uparrow = conditional for; \downarrow = conditional against; $\downarrow\downarrow$ = strong against. CT = computed tomography; MRA = magnetic resonance angiography; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; NM = nuclear medicine; US = ultrasound; XR = radiograph.

 Table 3.
 Thyroid and Parathyroid Disease, Neck Mass of Unknown Origin, Acute Sialadenitis, Chronic Salivary Conditions,

 Temporomandibular Join Dysfunction Recommendations.
 Example 1

Clinical/diagnostic scenario and recommendations

H03. THYROID AND PARATHYROID DISEASE

H03A. Palpable nodule, including goiter^{9,12,13,16,20,21,24-26,31}

I. In adults with palpable thyroid nodule or goiter, we recommend **US** as the initial imaging modality ($\uparrow\uparrow$).

 \rightarrow 1.1 If further investigation is required to evaluate for indications such as suspicion of invasive thyroid cancer, substernal and deep extension, tracheal compression, we recommend **CT** as the next imaging modality ($\uparrow\uparrow$).

H03B. Thyrotoxicosis and hyperthyroidism^{9,12,13,17,24-26,31}

- 1. In adults with biochemical confirmation of thyrotoxicosis or hyperthyroidism (ie, suppressed TSH), we recommend **US** as the initial imaging modality (↑↑).
 - \rightarrow **1.1** If focal abnormality is detected on US, we suggest **NM** (\uparrow).

Note: We did not cover the management of thyroid nodules detected at US (or the management/ follow-up of previously biopsied benign nodules seen at US), as this is already established in published guidelines.

Table 3. (continued)

Clinical/diagnostic scenario and recommendations

H03C. Primary hyperparathyroidism^{9,14,18,27,31}

- In adults with biochemically proven primary hyperparathyroidism, we recommend US and NM as the initial imaging modalities for operative consideration (↑↑).
 - → 1.1 If US and NM are nondiagnostic or discordant, we suggest CT as the next imaging modality at the physicians' discretion (↑).

H04. NECK MASS OF UNKNOWN ORIGIN, INCLUDING SALIVARY GLAND MASS^{9,15,28-31}

- 1. In adults with neck mass of unknown origin with clinical concern for malignancy, we recommend CT as the initial imaging modality (^^).
- 2. In adults with neck mass of unknown origin with low clinical concern for malignancy, we recommend **US** as the initial imaging modality (↑↑).
 - Solution Structure Str

H05. ACUTE SIALADENITIS9,31

In adults with suspected acute sialadenitis with or without stone, we recommend CT as the initial imaging modality (EP consensus).
 → I.I If CT is unavailable, we recommend US as the initial imaging modality (EP consensus).

H06. CHRONIC SALIVARY CONDITIONS9,31

- In adults with xerostomia, suspected chronic sialadenitis, or suspected autoimmune/connective tissue disease, we recommend US (↑↑) or CT (EP consensus) as the initial imaging modality.
 - \rightarrow 1.1 If further investigation is required, we suggest MRI/MR sialography as the next imaging modality (\uparrow).

H07. TEMPOROMANDIBULAR JOINT DYSFUNCTION9,31

- I. In adults with temporomandibular joint dysfunction, we suggest against imaging (\downarrow), unless surgery is being considered.
 - \rightarrow 1.1 In adults with clinically significant mechanical symptoms suggestive of temporomandibular joint dysfunction where surgery is being considered after failure of conservative management, we recommend **MRI** ($\uparrow\uparrow$).

Note. Strength of recommendation: $\uparrow\uparrow$ = strong for; \uparrow = conditional for; \downarrow = conditional against; $\downarrow\downarrow$ = strong against. CT = computed tomography; MRI=magnetic resonance imaging; NM = nuclear medicine; US = ultrasound.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank: Becky Skidmore for creating the search strategies for the systematic scoping review, Christopher Buckle who was a member of the Expert Panel, and the following individuals on the Diagnostic Imaging Referral Guidelines Working Group and external stakeholders for providing feedback on the guideline (listed alphabetically): Steve Burrell, Ryan Margau (WG co-chair), Cathy MacLean, Paul Pageau (WG co-chair), Charlotte Yong-Hing, and Kaitlin Zaki-Metias.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Canadian Medical Association.

ORCID iD

Candyce Hamel D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5871-2137

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

- Hamel C, Margau R, Pageau P, et al. Canadian Association of Radiologists diagnostic imaging referral guidelines: a guideline development protocol. *CMAJ Open.* 2023;11(2):E248-E254. doi:10.9778/cmajo.20220098
- Hamel C, Venturi M, Margau R, Pageau P. Canadian Association of Radiologists diagnostic imaging referral guidelines. *Can Assoc Radiol J.* 2023;74(4):614-615. doi:10.1177/0846 5371231169746
- Peters M, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Munn Z, Tricco A, Khalil H. Chapter 11: Scoping reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, eds. *JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis*. The Joanna Briggs Institute; 2020. Accessed February 24, 2021. https://doi. org/10.46658/JBIMES-20-12
- Higgins J, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.2 (Updated February 2021); 2021. Accessed June 2, 2022. www.training. cochrane.org/handbook
- Garritty C, Gartlehner G, Nussbaumer-Streit B, et al. Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group offers evidence-informed guidance to conduct rapid reviews. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2021;130:13-22. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.10.007
- Andrews J, Guyatt G, Oxman AD, et al. GRADE guidelines: 14. Going from evidence to recommendations: the significance and presentation of recommendations. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2013;66(7):719-725. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2012.03.013

- Andrews JC, Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, et al. GRADE guidelines: 15. Going from evidence to recommendation-determinants of a recommendation's direction and strength. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2013;66(7):726-735. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2013.02.003
- AGREE Next Steps Consortium. The AGREE II Instrument [Electronic Version]. Published 2017. Accessed March 3, 2022. https://www.agreetrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/ AGREE-II-Users-Manual-and-23-item-Instrument-2009-Update-2017.pdf
- Canadian Association of Radiologists. 2012 CAR Diagnostic Imaging Referral Guidelines. Canadian Association of Radiologists; 2012. Accessed July 5, 2021. https://car.ca/patientcare/referral-guidelines/
- Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Hagiwara M, Policeni B, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] sinonasal disease: 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol.* 2022;19(5S):S175-S193. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2022.02.011
- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging:, Kessler MM, Moussa M, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] tinnitus. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017;14(11S):S584-S591. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2017.08.052
- Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging:, Hoang JK, Oldan JD, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] thyroid disease. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019;16(5S):S300-S314. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2019.02.004
- Rose S, Ojiaku M. Patient-friendly summary of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria: thyroid disease. J Am Coll Radiol. 2020;17(5):e19. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2019.12.004
- Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Zander D, Bunch PM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] parathyroid adenoma. *J Am Coll Radiol.* 2021;18(11S):S406-S422. doi:10.1016/j. jacr.2021.08.013
- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging:, Aulino JM, Kirsch CFE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] neck mass-adenopathy. *J Am Coll Radiol.* 2019;16(5S):S150-S160. doi:10.1016/j.jacr. 2019.02.025
- 16. Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management guidelines for adult patients with thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer. *Thyroid*. 2016;26(1):1-133. doi:10.1089/thy.2015.0020
- Kahaly GJ, Bartalena L, Hegedüs L, Leenhardt L, Poppe K, Pearce SH. 2018 European Thyroid Association guideline for the management of graves' hyperthyroidism. *Eur Thyroid J.* 2018;7(4):167-186. doi:10.1159/000490384
- Weber T, Dotzenrath C, Dralle H, et al. Management of primary and renal hyperparathyroidism: guidelines from the German Association of Endocrine Surgeons (CAEK). *Langenbecks Arch Surg.* 2021;406(3):571-585. doi:10.1007/s00423-021-02173-1

- Orlandi RR, Kingdom TT, Smith TL, et al. International consensus statement on allergy and rhinology: rhinosinusitis 2021. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol.* 2021;11(3):213-739. doi:10.1002/alr.22741
- Ha EJ, Lim HK, Yoon JH, et al. Primary imaging test and appropriate biopsy methods for thyroid nodules: guidelines by Korean Society of Radiology and National Evidence-Based Healthcare Collaborating Agency. *Korean J Radiol.* 2018;19(4):623-631. doi:10.3348/kjr.2018.19.4.623
- Lee JY, Baek JH, Ha EJ, et al. 2020 imaging guidelines for thyroid nodules and differentiated thyroid cancer: Korean Society of Thyroid Radiology. *Korean J Radiol.* 2021;22(5):840-860. doi:10.3348/kjr.2020.0578
- 22. NICE Guideline. Tinnitus: assessment and management (NG155). Published March 11, 2020. Accessed October 7, 2022. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng155
- Lewis S, Chowdhury E, Stockdale D, Kennedy V; Guideline Committee. Assessment and management of tinnitus: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ*. 2020;368:m976. doi:10.1136/bmj.m976
- NICE Guideline. Thyroid disease: assessment and management (NG145). Published November 20, 2019. Accessed October 7, 2022. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng145
- Vasileiou M, Gilbert J, Fishburn S, Boelaert K. Thyroid disease assessment and management: summary of NICE guidance. BMJ. 2020;368:m41. doi:10.1136/bmj.m41
- Chaplin S. Summary of NICE guidance on thyroid disease. 2020. Accessed October 12, 2022. https://wchh.onlinelibrary. wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/psb.1842
- NICE Guideline. Hyperparathyroidism (primary): diagnosis, assessment and initial management (NG132). Published May 23, 2019. Accessed October 7, 2022. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng132
- Pynnonen MA, Gillespie MB, Roman B, et al. Clinical practice guideline: evaluation of the neck mass in adults. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2017;157(2_suppl):S1-S30. doi:10.1177/0194599817722550
- Pynnonen MA, Colandrea M, Finestone SA, O'Connor SS. Plain language summary: evaluation of the neck mass in adults. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2017;157(3):372-382. doi:10.1177/0194599817723610
- Pynnonen MA, Gillespie MB, Roman B, et al. Clinical practice guideline: evaluation of the neck mass in adults executive summary. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2017;157(3):355-371. doi:10.1177/0194599817723609
- 31. The Royal College of Radiologists. *RCR iRefer Guidelines: Making the Best Use of Clinical Radiology.* The Royal College of Radiologists; 2017.
- 32. Kaplan A. Canadian guidelines for chronic rhinosinusitis. *Can Fam Physician*. 2013;59(12):1275-1281.