

# **Assessing the role of ultrasound in identifying acute appendicitis in adults**

## **Descriptor**

Determine ultrasound sensitivity for detecting acute appendicitis in adults at a tertiary care centre.

## **Background**

Acute appendicitis is a common surgical emergency (Ref. 1). Although highly user-dependent, ultrasound is a great diagnostic tool given its availability and lack of ionizing radiation (Ref. 2). Increased ultrasound sensitivity should theoretically reduce the number of CTs required to diagnose acute appendicitis.

## **The Cycle**

### **The Standard**

Ultrasound sensitivity for detecting acute appendicitis in adults should be similar to values reported in the literature. A locally agreed standard of 83% is based on a systematic review (Ref. 3) and a meta-analysis (Ref. 4).

### **Target**

83% or greater

## **Assess local practice**

### **Indicators**

Number of true positive versus false negative ultrasound examinations, confirmed at histopathology.

### **Data items to be collected**

Review each surgical appendectomy case (in patients 18 or older) and ensure both the presence of acute appendicitis (from pathologist report) and a pre-operative ultrasound. Then record the radiologist's interpretation in PACS as either positive or negative (normal, equivocal or unidentified appendix).

## **Suggested number**

3 consecutive years per site, so a trend can be observed.

## **Suggestions for change if target not met**

- Present results to radiologists and sonographers.
- Coordinate with the Emergency Department the administration of analgesics with the timing of the ultrasound appointment. Educate ER staff on the importance of graded compression and how painful this can be for the patient.
- Begin the scan in the RLQ so analgesics have not worn off and full graded compression can be employed. Beginning in the RLQ will ensure enough time and attention is given to interrogating the appendix.
- Consider endovaginal scanning if unsuccessful.
- Second look ultrasound by a more experienced sonographer/radiologist and have the first sonographer reproduce the findings for optimal learning and skills development.

## **Resources**

Data was collected via the hospital surgical database and the PACS system.  
Descriptive data analysis was provided by the Radiology Department statistician.  
Time required to complete Stages 1-4 of audit cycle: 15 hours

## **References**

1. Addiss DG, Shaffer N, Fowler BS, Tauxe RV. The epidemiology of appendicitis and appendectomy in the United States. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1990;132(5):910-25.
2. Smith MP, Katz DS, Lalani T, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Right Lower Quadrant Pain--Suspected Appendicitis. *Ultrasound Q.* 2015;31(2):85-91.
3. Al-Khayal KA, Al-Omran MA. Computed tomography and ultrasonography in the diagnosis of equivocal acute appendicitis. A meta-analysis. *Saudi Med J.* 2007;28(2):173–180.
4. Doria AS, Moineddin R, Kellenberger CJ, et al. US or CT for Diagnosis of Appendicitis in Children and Adults? A Meta Analysis. *Radiology.* 2006;241(1):83–94.

## **Submitted by**

Joanne M. Howey, BSc, MD  
Diagnostic Radiology, PGY-4  
McMaster University,  
Hamilton, ON

## **Co Authors**

Kavita Dhamanaskar, DMRD, DNB, FRCP(C)  
McMaster University,  
Hamilton, ON

---